

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,476	06/28/2001	Joun Ho Lee	8733.481.00	3748
30827	7590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			KIELIN, ERIK J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	·· <del>-</del>
		DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003		
			)	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			gu			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	—pplicant(s)			
		09/892,476	LEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Erik Kielin	2813 .			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u> August 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action	n is <b>FINA</b> L. 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,7,9 and 16-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u></u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8 and 10-15</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	ation is objected to by the Evaminer					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
<u></u>	Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.⊠ Certif	ied copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) $\square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2813

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action responds to the Amendment and Substitute Drawings, each filed 18 August 2003 in Paper no. 11.

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on 18 August 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities:

in line 16, replace "disclinationdisclination" with --disclination-- to correct typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Independent claim 1 recites the newly added limitation, "to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes in the same direction as the rubbing direction." This is not possible. Fig. 4, the elected species, shows that the rubbing

Art Unit: 2813

direction is at a 135° angle. By contrast, the data and common electrodes generate electric field lines which are both parallel to the page and run from left to right or right to left --i.e. either 0° or 180°-- which is not the same as the rubbing direction of 135°. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill could not make or use the invention, as presently claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,281,958 B1 (Nakajima).

Nakajima discloses an in-plane switching mode LCD device (Title) comprising:

first 20 and second 21 substrates (col. 3, line 44; Fig. 2);

gate lines 39 (called "source line" in Nakajima) and data lines 31 defining a pixel region on the first substrate (Fig. 3);

a plurality of common 33 and data electrodes 40 (called "pixel electrodes" in Nakajima) formed to cross one another within the pixel region at constant intervals;

a common line 32 formed in parallel with the gate line 31, the common electrodes 33 being diverged from the common line 32;

a thin film transistor 38 formed in a crossing portion of the gate and data lines; and

Art Unit: 2813

a liquid crystal layer 16 (Fig. 2) formed between the first 20 and second 21 substrates, wherein the data electrodes 40 are connected with the thin film transistor at one side and the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes in the same direction as the rubbing direction (called "initial aligning angle" in Lee at col. 7, line 25, for example; col. 7, lines 13-61). In this regard, Lee states at col. 7, lines 35-61,

"Therefore, unlike the conventional arrangement [of the pixel electrode and common electrodes/line], the present embodiment does not cause a difference between the inclining direction of the electric line of force [i.e. the electric field] and the initial aligning direction [i.e. rubbing direction] of the liquid crystal molecules, partially within the same pixel, especially on ends of the display section. Namely, the inclining directions of the electric lines of force 18 are set so as to be even within the same pixel, and the initial aligning directions of the liquid crystal molecules 19 are set so as to be the same as the inclining direction of the electric lines of force 18. With this arrangement, for example, when voltage is applied, the liquid crystal molecules 19 rotate to the right in the entire pixel in the liquid crystal display panel of the present embodiment; therefore, it becomes possible to considerably improve the display quality as compared with the conventional arrangement. Additionally, the state of a rotating liquid crystal molecule during the application of voltage will be described later." (Emphasis added.)

"As described above, the initial aligning angle of the liquid crystal molecule 19 is not particularly limited as long as the initial aligning angle is set in accordance with the inclining direction of the electric line of force 18 of the display section 17. For example, it is possible to obtain sufficient contrast in the case when the angle ranges between 0° to 45° in the clockwise direction in the FIG. 1 with regard to the branching wires of the pixel electrode 10 and the common electrode 3 which are disposed orthogonally to the common line 2. Here, the angle is set at 2° in the present embodiment." (Emphasis added.)

(See also col. 3, lines 58-62; section entitled "EMBODIMENT 3" beginning at col. 11, line 1 -- especially col. 13, lines 5-15.)

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 2, the plurality of common electrodes 33 include a first common electrode formed in parallel with the data line 39 and diverged from the common line 32 within the pixel region;

a second common electrode 33 formed with at least one data electrode 40 interposed between the first common electrode 33 and the second common electrode 33 in parallel with the first common electrode 33 and diverged from the common line 32; and

a third common electrode 33 formed with at least one data electrode 40 interposed between the second common electrode 33 and the third common electrode 33, the second common electrode having one end connected with one end of the second common electrode (i.e. by the common line 32).

Regarding claim 5, the overlap of the data electrodes 40 overlapped with the common line 32 form edge portions that are selectively located inside and outside the common line.

Regarding claim 6, the selective inside and outside locations of the edge portions are based on a rubbing direction 49 (called "initial inclination direction" in Lee; col. 7, lines 13-61).

Regarding claim 8, the data electrodes 40 have one side connected with the thin film transistors 38 and the other side overlapped with the common line 32.

Regarding claim 10, **Nakajima** discloses an in-plane switching liquid crystal display device, comprising:

a plurality of parallel data lines 69 (Fig. 4);

a plurality of gate lines 61, crossing the data lines 69, such that a pixel region is defined by the data and gate lines;

Art Unit: 2813

a thin film transistor 68 comprising source, drain and gate electrodes formed at a crossing point of the data and gate lines;

a common line 62 within the pixel region;

a plurality of common electrodes 63 extending in a direction perpendicular to the common line 62;

a plurality of data electrodes 70 parallel to the common electrodes 63, first ends of the data electrodes connected to the drain of said thin film transistor 68, the data electrodes 70 and the common electrodes 63 forming an alternating pattern; and

a transverse data electrode 70 overlying the common line 62 and connecting second ends of the data electrodes 70, the transverse data electrode 70 having a first portion having a first width and a second portion having a second width, wherein the first width is less than the second width; wherein the first width is sufficiently narrow that disinclination is removed.

(Disinclination is necessarily removed because Nakajima teaches that the liquid crystal functions properly everywhere at col. 3, lines 58-62 and col. 7, lines 13-61.)

7. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,341,003 B1 (Ashizawa et al.).

Regarding claim 1, Ashizawa discloses an in-plane switching mode LCD device comprising:

first and second substrates (SUB1, SUB2, Fig. 2);

gate lines **GL** and data lines **DL** defining a pixel region on the first substrate (Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22);

Art Unit: 2813

a plurality of common CT and data electrodes PX (called "pixel electrodes" in Ashizawa) formed to cross one another within the pixel region at constant intervals;

a common line CL formed in parallel with the gate line GL, the common electrodes CT being diverged from the common line CL;

a thin film transistor TFT formed in a crossing portion of the gate and data lines; and a liquid crystal layer (LC, Fig. 2) formed between the first and second substrates, wherein the data electrodes PX are connected with the thin film transistor at one side and the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes in the same direction as a rubbing direction, as shown for example in Fig. 21 (col. 4, lines 18-29; col. 21, line 54 to col. 24, line 22). In this regard, Ashizawa states at col. 24,

Regarding claim 2, the common electrodes CT include a first common electrode formed in parallel with the data line TFT and diverged from the common line CL within the pixel region (Fig. 18);

a second common electrode CT formed with at least one data electrode PX interposed between the first common electrode CT and the second common electrode CT in parallel with the first common electrode CT and diverged from the common line CL (Fig. 18); and

a third common electrode CT formed with at least one data electrode PX interposed between the second common electrode CT and the third common electrode CT, having one end connected with one end of the second common electrode (i.e. by the common line CL) (Figs. 18).

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 5, the overlap of the data electrodes **PX** with the common line **CL** form edge portions that are selectively located inside and outside the common line (Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22).

Regarding claim 6, the selective inside and outside locations of the edge portions are based upon a rubbing direction, as shown for example in Fig. 21 (col. 4, lines 18-29; col. 21, line 54 to col. 24, line 22; Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22).

Regarding claim 8, the data electrodes PX have one side connected with the thin film transistor TFT and the other side overlapped with the common line CL.

Regarding claim 10, **Ashizawa** discloses an in-plane switching liquid crystal display device, comprising:

a plurality of parallel data lines DL (Fig. 32);

a plurality of gate lines GL, crossing the data lines DL, such that a pixel region is defined by the data and gate lines;

a thin film transistor **TFT** comprising source, drain and gate electrodes formed at a crossing point of the data and gate lines;

a common line CL within the pixel region;

a plurality of common electrodes CT extending in a direction perpendicular to the common line CL;

a plurality of data electrodes PX parallel to the common electrodes CT, first ends of the data electrodes connected to the drain of said thin film transistor TFT, the data electrodes PX and the common electrodes CT forming an alternating pattern; and

Art Unit: 2813

a transverse data electrode **Cstg** overlying the common line **CL** and connecting second ends of the data electrodes **PX**, the transverse data electrode **Cstg** having a first portion having a first width and a second portion having a second width, wherein the first width is less than the second width; wherein the first width is sufficiently narrow that disinclination is removed. (Disinclination is necessarily removed because Ashizawa teaches that the common and data electrodes are fashioned to prevent alignment problems due to the rubbing (alignment) direction of the liquid crystals, which Applicant indicates is the problem leading to disinclination. See col. 4, lines 18-29; col. 21, line 54 to col. 24, line 22; Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22.)

Regarding claim 11, the first ends of the common electrodes CT intersect the common line CL wherein at least one corner portion of a vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes CT and the common line CL is rounded (Fig. 38(A)); and

wherein at least one corner portion of a vertex of a connecting point of the second ends of the data electrodes **PX** and the transverse data electrode is substantially rounded (Fig. 38(A)).

Regarding claim 12, the first portion of the transverse data electrode (not labeled, but shown as the connecting portion of the data electrodes PX overlying the common line CL; Fig. 18) corresponds to the at least one corner portion of the vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes CT and the common line CL.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2813

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 3 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nakajima** in view of either of Applicant's admitted prior art (APA).

Regarding claim 3, Nakajima discloses, the data electrodes 70 include a first data electrode having one side connected with the thin film transistor 68 and the other side extended to an upper portion of the common line 62, and a second data electrode 70 formed between the second common electrode 63 and the third common electrode 63, wherein the second data electrode 70 is connected with the first data electrode 70 at the upper portion of the common line 62 (Fig. 4). Nakajima also discloses in Fig. 3 that the first and second data electrodes are connected at "the one side of the transistor" and at the opposite side.

Nakajima does not show in a single embodiment the combination that the first and second data electrodes are connected both at "the one side of the first data electrode" near the transistor and also over the common line.

APA teaches that the connection of the first and second data electrodes at "the one side" and over the common line is conventional in prior art Fig. 2

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to connect the first and second data electrodes over the common line and at "the one side of the first data electrode" because Nakajima teaches each configuration separately and because APA teaches that this configuration is conventional. Moreover, this feature is not shown to be critical to the objective of the invention, which is instead to form the data and common electrodes so that the electric field generated thereby is aligned with the initial alignment of the liquid crystals. Where the data electrodes connect has not been shown to affect said objective.

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 11, as noted above, Nakajima discloses each of the features of claim 10 and additionally, that first ends of the common electrodes 62 intersect the common line 62 and that the data electrodes 70 have an intersecting point with the transverse electrode 70 (Fig. 4). But Nakajima does not indicate (1) that at least one corner portion of a vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the common line is rounded; and (2) that at least one corner portion of a vertex of a connecting point of the second ends of the data electrodes and the transverse data electrode is substantially rounded. In short, Nakajima does not indicate that the corners formed at the intersection points of the electrodes with the lines are rounded.

APA in paragraph [19] states that such corners are inherently rounded. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to form the corners of Nakajima to be rounded, because APA states that this occurs as a matter of the manufacturing and that only in "design" are the corners shown to be "right angles."

Regarding claim 12, **Nakajima** discloses that the first portion of the transverse data electrode corresponds to the at least one corner portion of the vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the common line.

Regarding claim 13, Nakajima does not disclose that the LCD further comprises a transverse common electrode connected to second ends of the common electrodes, wherein at least one corner portion of a vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the transverse common electrode is rounded.

APA prior art Fig. 2C teaches that the transverse common electrode is a common configuration and that it is known to round the corners of the intersection between the electrodes and the transverse electrodes (paragraph [19]).

Art Unit: 2813

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to apply the features of the APA to Nakajima to connect the common electrodes ends opposite the common line and to round the corners, because APA teaches that this is common in the art.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Nakajima does not discloses that the second ends of the data electrodes 40 (Fig. 3) connect to a second transverse data electrode, the second transverse data electrode having a third portion having a third width and a fourth portion having a fourth width, wherein the third width is less than the fourth width (instant claim 14). Nakajima also fails to disclose that the third portion of the second transverse data electrode corresponds to the at least one corner portion of the vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the transverse common electrode (instant claim 15).

APA prior art Fig. 2C shows these features.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to apply the features of the APA to Nakajima to connect the data electrodes ends opposite the first transverse electrode, because APA teaches that this is common in the art.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 18 August 2003 (Paper no. 11) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Nakajima does not disclose the newly added limitation to the claims of,

"the data electrodes 40 are connected with the thin film transistor at one side and the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common

Art Unit: 2813

electrodes and the data electrodes in the same direction as the rubbing direction."

Applicant ignores the express teachings in Nakajima. This feature is very clearly disclosed in Nakajima as noted above in the rejection of the claims over Nakajima as stated in the excerpt from Nakajima.

Applicant argues that Nakajima fails to disclose,

"a transverse-data electrode overlying the common line and connecting second ends of the data electrodes, the transverse data electrode having a first portion having a first width and a second portion having a second width, wherein the first width is less than the second width."

Again, Applicant ignores the express teachings in Nakajima. This feature is very clearly disclosed in Nakajima as noted above in the rejection of the claims over Nakajima.

Applicant argues the Ashizawa does not disclose the feature,

"a common line formed in parallel with the gate line, the common lines being diverged from the common line..."

This argument defies logic. It is impossible for a line to diverge from itself.

Applicant continues that Ashizawa does not disclose the feature,

"the data electrodes 40 are connected with the thin film transistor at one side and the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes in the same direction as the rubbing direction."

Applicant ignores the express teachings in Ashizawa. This feature is very clearly disclosed in Ashizawa as noted above in the rejection of the claims over Ashizawa, and as shown in Fig. 21.

Applicant argues that Ashizawa fails to disclose,

"a transverse data electrode overlying the common line and connecting second ends of the data electrodes, the transverse data electrode having a

Art Unit: 2813

first portion having a first width and a second portion having a second width, wherein the first width is less than the second width."

Again, Applicant ignores the express teachings in Ashizawa. This feature is very clearly disclosed in Ashizawa as noted above in the rejection of the claims over Ashizawa and as shown in many Figs.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is 703-306-5980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 19:30 on Monday through Thursday.

Art Unit: 2813

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached at 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Page 15

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Erik Kielin

Primary Examiner

October 24, 2003